1 2

. _

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MICHAEL PATRICK DUFFY,

Petitioner,

VS

NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:15-cv-00148-APG-CWH

ORDER

This action is a *pro se* petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 by a Nevada state prisoner. Neither a filing fee nor an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* was submitted with the petition. When filing a habeas action, petitioner must either submit the \$5.00 filing fee for habeas petitions or an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Due to the lack of an *in forma pauperis* application or filing fee, the present action will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action with a pauper application with all required attachments. It does not appear from the papers presented that a dismissal without prejudice would result in a promptly-filed new petition being untimely. In this regard, petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating the running of the limitations period as applied to his case and properly commencing a timely-filed habeas corpus action.

IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice to the filing of a new petition in a new action. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall send petitioner two copies of an *in forma pauperis* application form for a prisoner, one copy of the instructions for the same, two copies of a blank 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas petition form, and one copy of instructions for the same. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner may file a new petition in a new action, but he may not file further documents in this action. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability is **DENIED**. Reasonable jurists would not find the dismissal of the improperly-commenced action without prejudice to be debatable or wrong. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment accordingly. Dated: January 29, 2015. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE